



# Global distribution of CT2 at altitudes 30–50 km from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation

Alexandre S. Gurvich, Viktoria F. Sofieva, Francis Dalaudier

## ► To cite this version:

Alexandre S. Gurvich, Viktoria F. Sofieva, Francis Dalaudier. Global distribution of CT2 at altitudes 30–50 km from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2007, 34 (24), pp.L24813. 10.1029/2007GL031134 . hal-00201348

**HAL Id: hal-00201348**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00201348>**

Submitted on 17 Feb 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Global distribution of $C_T^2$ at altitudes 30–50 km from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation

A. S. Gurvich,<sup>1</sup> V. F. Sofieva,<sup>2</sup> and F. Dalaudier<sup>3</sup>

Received 26 June 2007; revised 14 September 2007; accepted 12 November 2007; published 28 December 2007.

[1] Locally isotropic turbulence in the stratosphere consists of isolated sporadic patches with random values of temperature structure characteristic  $C_T^2$ . Stellar scintillations measured aboard GOMOS/ENVISAT through the Earth atmosphere provided the first global distribution of the effective characteristic  $C_{T,eff}^2$  averaged along sounding ray. For zonal mean, the largest values are achieved in winter polar regions. This observed intense turbulence is probably related to the polar night jet. Relatively weak turbulence is observed at low latitudes. Turbulence intensity map is shown for altitude 42 km in the latitude band  $\pm 35^\circ$ , where the maxima follow the sub-solar latitude, with enhancements located mainly over continents. Turbulence enhancements are not related with orography. Despite a noticeable correlation with typical regions of deep convection, the overall distribution of  $C_{T,eff}^2$  displays a more complicated structure. Analyzed data suggest that the main turbulence sources, at altitudes  $\sim 40$  km, are instabilities of stratospheric circulation and gravity wave breaking. **Citation:** Gurvich, A. S., V. F. Sofieva, and F. Dalaudier (2007), Global distribution of  $C_T^2$  at altitudes 30–50 km from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L24813, doi:10.1029/2007GL031134.

## 1. Introduction

[2] Breaking of internal gravity waves (IGW) and instabilities of different kind (e.g., shear instability, convective instability etc.) generate turbulence in the stratosphere. Turbulence constitutes the last stage of kinetic energy dissipation into heat through molecular diffusion. *Phillips* [1967] has shown that turbulence in the stable stratosphere consists of isolated sporadic patches. These patches seem to take the form of “pancakes” whose horizontal length scale is much larger than the vertical one. Radar returns visualize these patches [*Atlas*, 1965]. Because of flatness of turbulent patches, they appear as turbulent layers in balloon soundings [*Coulman et al.*, 1995]. Nowadays, there are no systematic observational data of turbulence at altitudes 30–50 km. These altitudes are not accessible for airplane exploration. Routine radiosondes usually reach a little higher than 30 km. Rocket soundings [*Lübken*, 1997] usually give information about the atmosphere above 60 km. Radio occultation technique provides reliable data below 30 km [*Gorbunov*, 2002]. Radar returns cannot usually be observed for altitudes 30–50 km.

[3] Information about air density irregularities for these altitudes are available from lidar studies [*Wilson et al.*, 1991; *Whiteway and Carswell*, 1995; *Sica and Russell*, 1999]. However, lidar data are rare and they are bounded to a specific location. Air temperature irregularities with vertical scales larger than few kilometres are studied by using observations of infrared [*Eckermann and Preusse*, 1999] and microwave [*Wu and Waters*, 1996] emissions.

[4] Using satellite measurements of stellar scintillation is an alternative approach that allows remote sensing of small-scale processes, with scales down to fractions of meter, in the stratosphere [*Gurvich*, 2002]. This approach is based on the theory of scintillations developed by *Tatarskii* [1971]. It connects scintillation spectra with spectra of air density irregularities. Waves and turbulence create irregularities of air refractivity  $\delta n$ , which are proportional to fluctuations of air density  $\delta \rho$ . When a star is observed on board a satellite, stellar flux passed through the atmosphere exhibits scintillation caused by these fluctuations. Analyses of scintillation measurements on board the MIR station allowed the retrieval of parameters of IGW and turbulence spectra [*Gurvich and Kan*, 2003a, 2003b]. However, the man-controlled photometer on board MIR allowed only a small number of observations in the latitude band  $\pm 60^\circ$ . The scintillation measurements became available with global coverage since the launch of Envisat satellite in 2002. The GOMOS (Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars) instrument on board ENVISAT is equipped with two fast photometers (FP) operating at blue (470–520 nm) and red (650–700 nm) wavelengths  $\lambda$  with the sampling frequency  $f_s = 1$  kHz (<http://envisat.esa.int/instruments/gomos>). Analysis of coherency spectra of the GOMOS scintillation data [*Gurvich et al.*, 2005] has shown the presence of stratospheric layers with large intensity of isotropic turbulence. The analysis of scintillation variance for two seasons of year 2003 is presented by *Sofieva et al.* [2007a].

[5] In this paper, we present the first global and seasonal distribution of the structure characteristic  $C_T^2$  of isotropic turbulence at altitudes 30–50 km obtained from scintillation measurements by GOMOS FP in 2003.

## 2. Model and Processing

[6] Observed scintillation is the result of light waves diffraction on refractivity irregularities  $\delta n$  that are proportional to irregularities of air density [*Tatarskii*, 1971]. The main contribution to energy of turbulent scintillations comes from irregularities of light field with scales smaller than a few tens of meters [*Gurvich and Kan*, 2003a, 2003b]. The scintillations caused by IGW have larger scales and can be separated from small-scale turbulent scintillation by spectral analysis. Ignoring turbulent fluctuations of pressure, turbu-

<sup>1</sup>A. M. Oboukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Moscow, Russia.

<sup>2</sup>Earth Observation, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland.

<sup>3</sup>Service d'Aéronomie du CNRS, Verrières-le-Buisson, France.

lent irregularities  $\delta n$  are connected with temperature irregularities  $\delta T$ :  $\delta n = -(\langle n \rangle / \langle T \rangle) \delta T$ , where  $\langle n \rangle$  and  $\langle T \rangle$  are the mean refractivity and temperature at a given altitude. Propagation of light waves through the stratosphere containing turbulent patches can be considered as propagation through a random media with variable parameters.

[7] Let us assume that temperature irregularities in turbulent patches have the Kolmogorov's 3D spectrum:  $\Phi_T(\kappa) = 0.033 C_T^2 \kappa^{-11/3} \exp(-\kappa^2/\kappa_m^2)$ , with parameters:  $C_T^2$ , the structure characteristic, and  $\kappa_m$ , the wave number corresponding to the inner scale. We assume that sizes of turbulent patches are random, as well as parameters  $C_T^2$  and  $\kappa_m$  in them. Hence 3D spectrum of  $\delta n$  in a patch is

$$\Phi_n(\kappa) = 0.033 \langle n \rangle^2 \langle T \rangle^{-2} C_T^2 \kappa^{-11/3} \exp(-\kappa^2/\kappa_m^2). \quad (1)$$

Mean refractivity  $\langle n(h) \rangle$  decreases almost exponentially with altitude  $h$ , with the atmospheric scale height  $H_0(h)$ . Therefore the region of main interaction between light waves and  $\delta n$  is located around the perigee of a sounding ray. Horizontal size  $L_A$  of this region is a few hundreds of kilometers; the ray can cross many patches. Satellite observations are performed at a large distance  $L$  ( $L \gg L_A$ ) from the ray perigee. For GOMOS,  $L = 3200$  km. Integrating 2D scintillation spectra [Tatarskii, 1971, equations (4.48) and (5.48)], we calculate the variance  $\sigma_I^2$  of isotropic scintillation in the observation plane:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_I^2 &= \frac{2.25}{\cos(5\pi/12)} k_0^{7/6} L^{5/6} \int_{\text{ray}} dx C_n^2(x) W^{-5/3} \\ &\cdot \left[ (1 + W^4)^{5/12} \cos(5\arctan(W^2)/6) - 1 \right], \\ C_n^2(x) &= \frac{\langle n(h_0) \rangle^2}{\langle T(h_0) \rangle^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{R_E H_0}\right) C_T^2(x), \\ W &= \kappa_m(x) \sqrt{L/k_0}, \quad k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $x$  is the coordinate along ray,  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$  correspond to the ray perigee point and to the observation plane, respectively,  $R_E$  is the Earth radius. Turbulent fluctuations of temperature significantly exceed that of pressure  $\delta T / \langle T \rangle \gg \delta P / \langle P \rangle$ ; this is taken into account in (2).

[8] If the inner scale of turbulence  $\kappa_m^{-1}$  is much smaller than Fresnel scale  $Fr = \sqrt{L/k_0}$  (equivalently  $W \gg 1$ ), Equation (2) can be transformed to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_I^2 &= 2.25 k_0^{7/6} L^{5/6} L_A \frac{\langle n(h_0) \rangle^2}{\langle T(h_0) \rangle^2} C_{T,\text{eff}}^2, \\ C_{T,\text{eff}}^2 &= L_A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{R_E H_0}\right) C_T^2(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The parameter  $C_{T,\text{eff}}^2$  is  $C_T^2$  averaged along a ray with the weight function  $L_A^{-1} \exp(-x^2/R_E H_0)$  determining the effective region of interaction between the light wave and the turbulent atmosphere. Its horizontal size is  $L_A = \sqrt{\pi R_E H_0}$ .

[9] Equations (2) and (3) give an opportunity for estimating  $C_{T,\text{eff}}^2$  from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation. However, modest sampling frequency of GOMOS photometers does not allow estimating  $\kappa_m$  from the scintillation data, thus real values of  $W$  are uncertain. Previous space-born observations of stellar scintillation

[Gurvich and Kan, 2003b] have shown that values of  $1/\kappa_m$  do not exceed 0.6 m at altitudes  $30 \div 50$  km, the mean of  $1/\kappa_m$  is  $\sim 0.2 \div 0.3$  m. Since  $\sqrt{L/k_0} = 0.59$  m for the red FP, we can assume  $W > 1$  along the ray. The influence of the parameter  $W$  on  $\sigma_I^2$  in equation (2) is described by a smooth monotonous function  $g(W) = \cos^{-1}(5\pi/12) W^{-5/3} [(1 + W^4)^{5/12} \cos(5\arctan(W^2)/6) - 1]$ ,  $g(\infty) = 1$ ,  $g(1) = 0.228$ . According to the mean-value theorem, the use of a constant value  $g(W_{\text{eff}}) = 0.7$ , which corresponds to the effective value of  $1/\kappa_m = 0.21$  m, introduces an uncertainty less than 50% in the resulting  $\sigma_I^2$ .

[10] Scintillations are recorded along a spacecraft trajectory with the integration time  $\tau_s = f_s^{-1}$ . The scale of time averaging is  $\tau_s u$  ( $u$  is the projection of the satellite velocity on the observation plane), while the typical scintillation scale on the observation plane is  $\max(1/\kappa_m, Fr)$  [Tatarskii, 1971]. In GOMOS observations  $\tau_s u > \max(1/\kappa_m, Fr)$ , thus the variance of recorded isotropic scintillation  $\beta_{\text{iso}}^2$  is smaller than  $\sigma_I^2$  defined by (2) by a factor  $G$ . The effect of temporal smoothing is estimated by using the above cited equations (4.48) and (5.48) from Tatarskii [1971] and assuming  $C_T^2(x) = C_{T,\text{eff}}^2$  and  $W = W_{\text{eff}} = \text{const}$ . This results in the approximation  $G = 1.63 [(u\tau)^2 k_0/L]^{-0.485} [g(W_{\text{eff}})]^{-0.39}$ . The accuracy of this approximation is better than  $1 \div 2\%$  for possible values of turbulence parameters,  $3.4 \text{ km/s} < u < 6.5 \text{ km/s}$  and  $W_{\text{eff}} > 1$ . Finally, we obtain  $\beta_{\text{iso}}^2$ :


$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\text{iso}}^2 &= 2.25 k_0^{7/6} L^{5/6} L_A \langle n(h_0) \rangle^2 \langle T(h_0) \rangle^{-2} \\ &\cdot G(u\tau / \sqrt{L/k_0}, W_{\text{eff}}) g(W_{\text{eff}}) C_{T,\text{eff}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The above equations are derived for monochromatic radiation and without refractive dilution. The impact of refractive dilution and averaging over wavelength band of the FP optical filter [Dalaudier et al., 2001] are not significant at altitudes above 30 km compared to uncertainty of  $\kappa_m$  discussed above. The equation (4) is the base for determination of  $C_T^2$  from space-borne observations of stellar scintillation. We leave out the subscript “eff” hereafter for short. The above results are based on the theory of weak scintillations i.e. when  $\sigma_I^2 < 1$ . In case of strong scintillation, it is very difficult to separate scintillations caused by turbulence and IGW. In our analysis, we consider the altitude range above 30 km, where the weak scintillation assumption is valid [Gurvich and Kan, 2003a].

[11]  $\beta_{\text{iso}}^2$  can be determined from GOMOS scintillations by using the technique described in detail by Sofieva et al. [2007a]. This technique uses the fact that scintillation spectra at wave numbers larger than the inverse of IGW's inner scale are defined mainly by the turbulent component. The scintillation spectrum of the turbulent component is nearly flat in the wave number range available from GOMOS measurements [Tatarskii, 1971; Sofieva et al., 2007b]. This allows separating the IGW and turbulent contributions from the observed scintillation variance and thus computing  $\beta_{\text{iso}}^2$  (for details and illustration of this method, see Sofieva et al. [2007a, section 2 and Figure 3]). Known  $\beta_{\text{iso}}^2$ ,  $C_T^2$  is determined using (4).

### 3. Results and Discussion

[12] Locations of dark-limb occultations of 30 brightest stars in 2003 selected for analysis are shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Location of the selected occultations in four seasons of year 2003.


The observed structure of latitude bands is the consequence of the non-uniform distribution of the brightest stars. The red photometer measurements are used for  $C_T^2$  computation, as they are less affected by absorption and scattering in the atmosphere. The profiles of  $C_T^2$  are computed for each individual occultation. The coverage by bright stars is not sufficient for obtaining monthly global maps (as can be seen in Figure 1), therefore we restricted ourselves by considering seasonal distributions. For producing plots of zonal mean  $C_T^2$ , the data are averaged in latitudinal bins distributed in accordance with available data: the centers of the bins are assigned to the characteristic latitudes corresponding to occultation series. The width of latitudinal bins is mostly  $\sim 10^\circ$ , but it ranges from  $5^\circ$  to  $30^\circ$ . The median values of  $C_T^2$  at each altitude are used for the construction of Figure 2 due to robust properties of the median estimates of highly variable dataset.

[13] Figure 2 shows the distribution of zonal median  $C_T^2$  in four seasons of 2003 obtained from observations indicated in Figure 1. First of all, large variations of  $C_T^2$  can be noticed: the range of possible values of  $C_T^2$  covers more than two orders of magnitude, from  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}^2 \text{ m}^{-2/3}$ . The value of  $C_T^2$  has a clear physical sense. It equals to the variance of the temperature difference in two points at the distance of one meter. The largest  $C_T^2$  values are observed in

the boundary layer [Tatarskii, 1971], where values of  $C_T^2$  vary depending on insolation, wind velocity, underlying surface and so on. Values of  $C_T^2$  usually decrease with altitude in the troposphere [Gavrilov et al., 2005; Zink et al., 2004]. Typical values for the lower stratosphere (below 30 km) may cover more than two orders of magnitude. Above 30 km and up to 70 km, there exists scanty data obtained from spacecraft scintillation observations [Gurvich and Kan, 2003a, 2003b]. They show the definite increase of  $C_T^2$  with altitude from some units of  $10^{-4}$  to some units  $10^{-3}$ , with scattering about one order of magnitude at  $30 \div 50$  km, for different locations and observation time. In the mesosphere, Lübken [1992] reported the values of the structure characteristic of relative refractivity fluctuations  $C_n^2$  at altitudes 84–85 km, as obtained from rocket soundings. The corresponding  $C_T^2$  values are  $\sim 10^{-1} \text{ K}^2 \text{ m}^{-2/3}$ .

[14] Values of  $C_T^2$  shown in Figure 2 are within the range of the values given by Gavrilov et al. [2005], Zink et al. [2004], and Lübken [1992, 1997]. Wide range of  $C_T^2$  variation explains the data scattering of Gurvich and Kan [2003a, 2003b]. The maps for Jan–Feb–Dec and Jun–Jul–Aug are almost mirror-symmetric. The largest values of  $C_T^2$  are observed above  $40 \div 45$  km in polar winter in both hemispheres; they are especially large in the Southern Hemisphere. The strong turbulence here is probably related






**Figure 2.** Zonal averaged  $C_T^2$  ( $\text{K}^2 \text{m}^{-2/3}$ ) in four seasons of 2003, logarithmic color scale.

to the polar night jet [Holton, 2004]. Based on the simplified spectral analysis, Sofieva *et al.* [2007a] suggested that the strong turbulence at winter polar stratosphere might be related with breaking IGW in the polar night jet. Several previous observational studies [Duck *et al.*, 1998, 2001; Jiang and Wu, 2001] also pointed out that the gravity waves should break in the polar night jet at altitudes close to stratopause, in order to explain the thermal balance in the polar vortex area. Local enhancement of turbulence at the edge of the polar vortex during the sudden stratospheric warming in December 2003 (which also contributes to the distribution shown in Figure 2) is discussed by Sofieva *et al.* [2007b]. The plot for Sep–Oct–Nov shows enhancements at high latitudes, in both hemispheres. However, taking into account that in SH the measurements were carried out mainly in September, while in NH they were performed in November (Figure 1), these enhancements are most probably related to the remaining (beginning) of the polar vortex. This is confirmed, in particular, by the distribution of  $C_T^2$  in Mar–Apr–May. The major part of occultations were carried out in April, and the distribution of  $C_T^2$  has smaller variations. In addition to the noted peculiarities at polar latitudes, modest enhancements in zonal mean turbulence around  $20^\circ\text{S}$  in March–May and some smaller ones around  $20^\circ\text{N}$  in June–August are observed.

[15] Figure 3 shows the geographical distribution of  $C_T^2$  in the layer about 3 km thick (vertical resolution) centered at altitude  $\sim 42$  km, between  $35^\circ\text{N}$  and  $35^\circ\text{S}$ . We selected this altitude, because the previous consideration of GOMOS scintillation data [Gurvich *et al.*, 2005] has shown that turbulence is observed more clearly on the IGW background at these altitudes. Turbulence intensity is smaller in this zone than in polar regions and, in average, it has a pronounced zonal structure. The plots of Figure 3 show that the average values of  $C_T^2$  follow the sub-solar latitude like main distinctive feature of general circulation of the middle atmosphere [Holton, 2004]. At the same time, almost all of the local enhancements are over continents (except for that one over Western Pacific in June–September). Many of  $C_T^2$  enhancements seen in Figure 3 correspond well to the typical regions of deep convection, e.g., Africa, South America and Indonesia in Dec, Jan–March, regions of Indian and American monsoons and of North African dry convection in June–September [Jiang *et al.*, 2004]. Many similarities can be found between enhancements in  $C_T^2$  shown in Figure 3 and local maxima of temperature variances at  $\sim 38$  km obtained from MLS data [Jiang *et al.*, 2004, Figure 4]. In spite of the large difference in spatial scales (many hundreds times), it is possible to assume that the observed enhancements in  $C_T^2$  correspond to the last step (before molecular diffusion) of breakdown of temperature



**Figure 3.**  $C_T^2(\text{K}^2 \text{m}^{-2/3})$  at 42 km for (top) Jan–March, Dec. and for (bottom) June–Sept., logarithmic color scale. The data are averaged in  $5^\circ \times 10^\circ$  latitude-longitude bins and a three-point smoothing is applied to the obtained fields. In order to make these maps more contrasting, the color scale covers one decade only.

irregularities observed by MLS and interpreted as IGW. However, this question requires a deeper analysis and is beyond the scope of this paper.

[16] Turbulence in the stratosphere results not only from IGW breaking but also from different kind of instabilities [Staquet and Sommeria, 2002], therefore the distribution of  $C_T^2$  has a more complicated structure: some of local maxima of  $C_T^2$  (e.g. over Western Brazil and over Australia in Jun–Sep) do not correspond either to typical regions of convection or to mountain GW.

[17] No systematic increase of  $C_T^2$  over large mountain range such as Himalaya, Andes and Sierra Nevada is observed in seasonal average distributions shown in Figure 3. Probably mountain waves are too long for transformation into small scale turbulence observed by scintillation at 42 km.

#### 4. Summary

[18] Analysis of GOMOS stellar scintillation observations gave the first estimation of global distribution of  $C_T^2$  at altitudes 30–50 km for four seasons. The values of zonal mean  $C_T^2$  increase with the altitude, as a rule. The largest  $C_T^2$  values are achieved in polar regions in winter, where they may reach  $0.006 \text{ K}^2 \text{m}^{-2/3}$  in SH, i.e. the values, which are comparable with those observed in the turbulent boundary layer. It can be assumed that this observed intense turbulence is related to the polar night jet.

[19] Relatively low turbulence is observed at low latitudes. Minimal values of  $C_T^2$  are less than  $10^{-4} \text{ K}^2 \text{m}^{-2/3}$  there. The average turbulence intensity at altitudes  $\sim 42$  km follows the solstice, with enhancements located mainly over continents. Turbulence enhancements in tropical regions are not related with orography. Despite a good correlation with typical regions of deep convection, the overall distribution of  $C_T^2$  displays a more complicated structure. These peculiarities of  $C_T^2$  fields and the observed zonal structure in turbulence distribution indicate that the main turbulence sources are instabilities of general stratospheric circulation of different kind and IGW breaking induced by these instabilities.

[20] **Acknowledgments.** The authors thank ESA, ACRI-ST and the GOMOS team for the GOMOS data. The work of AG was supported by RFBR grant 06-05-64357. The work of VS was supported by the Academy of Finland.

#### References

- Atlas, D. (1965), Angels in focus, *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., Sect. D*, 69, 871–875.
- Coulman, C. E., J. Vernin, and A. Fuchs (1995), Optical seeing—Mechanism of formation of thin turbulent laminae in the atmosphere, *Appl. Opt.*, 34, 5461–5474.
- Dalaudier, F., V. Kan, and A. S. Gurvich (2001), Chromatic refraction with global ozone monitoring by occultations of stars. I. Description and scintillation correction, *Appl. Opt.*, 40, 866–877.
- Duck, T. J., J. A. Whiteway, and A. I. Carswell (1998), Lidar observations of gravity wave activity and Arctic stratospheric vortex core warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25(15), 2813–2816.

- Duck, T. J., J. A. Whiteway, and A. I. Carswell (2001), The gravity wave-Arctic stratospheric vortex interaction, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **58**, 3581–3596.
- Eckermann, S. D., and P. Preusse (1999), Global measurements of stratospheric mountain waves from space, *Science*, **286**, 1534–1537.
- Gavrilov, N. M., H. Luce, M. Crochet, F. Dalaudier, and S. Fukao (2005), Turbulence parameter estimations from high-resolution balloon temperature measurements of the MUTSI-2000 campaign, *Ann. Geophys.*, **23**, 2401–2413.
- Gorbunov, M. E. (2002), Ionospheric correction and statistical optimization of radio occultation data, *Radio Sci.*, **37**(5), 1084, doi:10.1029/2000RS002370.
- Gurvich, A. S. (2002), Parameters of turbulence and internal waves and the dissipation of kinetic energy in the stratosphere based on space observations, *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, **385**(5), 599–603.
- Gurvich, A. S., and V. Kan (2003a), Structure of air density irregularities in the stratosphere from spacecraft observations of stellar scintillation, 1. Three-dimensional spectrum model and recovery of its parameters, *Izv. Russ. Acad. Sci. Atmos. Oceanic Phys.*, **39**, 300–310.
- Gurvich, A. S., and V. Kan (2003b), Structure of air density irregularities in the stratosphere from spacecraft observations of stellar scintillation, 2. Characteristic scales, structure characteristics, and kinetic energy dissipation, *Izv. Russ. Acad. Sci. Atmos. Oceanic Phys.*, **39**, 311–321.
- Gurvich, A. S., F. Dalaudier, and V. F. Sofieva (2005), Study of stratospheric air density irregularities based on two-wavelength observation of stellar scintillation by Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars (GOMOS) on Envisat, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D11110, doi:10.1029/2004JD005536.
- Holton, J. R. (2004), *An Introduction to Dynamic Meteorology*, *Int. Geophys.*, vol. 88, 4th ed., Elsevier, New York.
- Jiang, J. H., and D. L. Wu (2001), UARS MLS observations of gravity waves associated with the arctic winter stratospheric vortex, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, 527–530.
- Jiang, J. H., B. Wang, K. Goya, K. Hocke, S. D. Eckermann, J. Ma, D. L. Wu, and W. G. Read (2004), Geographical distribution and interseasonal variability of tropical deep convection: UARS MLS observations and analyses, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D03111, doi:10.1029/2003JD003756.
- Lübken, F.-J. (1992), On the extraction of turbulent parameters from atmospheric density fluctuations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **97**, 20,385–20,395.
- Lübken, F. J. (1997), Annual variation of turbulent energy dissipation rates at high latitude as determined by in situ measurements of neutral density fluctuations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 13,441–13,456.
- Phillips, O. M. (1967), The generation of clear-air turbulence by the degradation of internal waves, in *Atmospheric Turbulence and Radio Wave Propagation*, edited by A. M. Yaglom and V. I. Tatarsky, pp. 130–136, Nauka, Moscow.
- Sica, R. J., and A. T. Russell (1999), How many waves are in the gravity wave spectrum?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **26**, 3617–3620.
- Sofieva, V. F., et al. (2007a), Global analysis of scintillation variance: Indication of gravity wave breaking in the polar winter upper stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L03812, doi:10.1029/2006GL028132.
- Sofieva, V. F., A. S. Gurvich, F. Dalaudier, and V. Kan (2007b), Reconstruction of internal gravity wave and turbulence parameters in the stratosphere using GOMOS scintillation measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, D12113, doi:10.1029/2006JD007483.
- Staquet, C., and J. Sommeria (2002), Internal gravity waves: From instabilities to turbulence, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **34**, 559–593.
- Tatarskii, V. I. (1971), *The Effects of the Turbulent Atmosphere on Wave Propagation*, 417 pp., U. S. Dep. of Commer., Springfield, Va.
- Whiteway, J. A., and A. I. Carswell (1995), Lidar observations of gravity wave activity in the upper stratosphere over Toronto, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **100**, 14,113–14,124.
- Wilson, R., M.-L. Chanin, and A. Hauchecorne (1991), Gravity waves in the middle atmosphere observed by Rayleigh lidar: 2. Climatology, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **96**, 5169–5183.
- Wu, D. L., and J. W. Waters (1996), Satellite observations of atmospheric variances: A possible indication of gravity waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **23**, 3631–3634.
- Zink, F., R. A. Vincent, E. Murphy, and O. Cote (2004), Comparison of radar and in situ measurements of atmospheric turbulence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D11108, doi:10.1029/2003JD003991.

F. Dalaudier, Service d'Aéronomie du CNRS, F-91371 Verrières-le-Buisson Cedex, France.

A. S. Gurvich, A. M. Oboukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Pyzhevsky 3, Moscow, 119017, Russia.

V. F. Sofieva, Earth Observation, Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 503, Helsinki, FIN-00101, Finland.